

RESOLUTION _____

A RESOLUTION identifying proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments to be considered for possible adoption in 2006, and approving a work plan for DPD to review and make recommendations about said amendments to the Mayor and Council.

WHEREAS, the City of Seattle adopted a Comprehensive Plan through Ordinance 117221 in 1994; and

WHEREAS, the City of Seattle last amended the Comprehensive Plan through Ordinance 121955 in October 2005; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted procedures in Resolution 30261 as amended by Resolution 30412 for amending the Comprehensive Plan, consistent with the requirements for amendment prescribed by the Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A; and

WHEREAS, proposed amendments were submitted for consideration by individuals, citizen organizations, and by City of Seattle staff in 2006; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor has provided his views as to which proposals should be further considered and reviewed during 2006; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has provided its views as to which proposals should be further considered and reviewed during 2006; and

WHEREAS, the Council's Urban Development and Planning Committee held a public hearing on April 12, 2006, to take public testimony on the amendments proposed for consideration; NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE, THE MAYOR CONCURRING, THAT:

Section 1. Guidelines for Amendment Selection

The City Council considers a variety of factors in determining whether a proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment will be placed on the amendment docket for a given year.

Among those factors are the following:

A. The amendment is appropriate for the Comprehensive Plan:

1 1. The amendment is consistent with the role of the Comprehensive Plan under
2 the State Growth Management Act;

3 2. The amendment is consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies;

4 3. The intent of the amendment cannot be accomplished by a change in
5 regulations only;

6 4. The amendment is not better addressed as a budgetary or programmatic
7 decision; or
8

9 5. The amendment is not better addressed through another process, such as
10 neighborhood planning.

11 B. The amendment is legal - the amendment meets existing state and local laws.

12 C. It is practical to consider the amendment:
13

14 1. The timing of the amendment is appropriate and Council will have sufficient
15 information necessary to make an informed decision.

16 2. Within the time available City staff will be able to develop the text for the
17 amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and, if necessary, the Municipal Code, and
18 conduct sufficient analysis.
19

20 3. The proposed amendment is consistent with the overall vision of the
21 Comprehensive Plan and well-established Comprehensive Plan policy, or the Mayor or
22 Council is interested in significantly changing existing policy.
23

24 4. The amendment has not been recently rejected by the City Council.
25
26
27
28

1 D. There has been a neighborhood review process to develop any proposed change to a
2 neighborhood plan, or a neighborhood review process can be conducted prior to final Council
3 consideration of the amendment.

4
5 Section 2. The following proposed amendments should be further developed for review
6 and consideration by the Executive and Council as possible amendments to the Comprehensive
7 Plan in 2006:
8

9 A. Add triangle bounded by Aurora Avenue, Denny Way, and Broad Street to the
10 Uptown Urban Center.

11 B. Replace South Lake Union Neighborhood Plan elements with new goals and policies
12 developed to reflect ongoing community planning efforts.

13 C. Add maps to the Urban Village Element showing all or a portion of the North
14 Highline area south of current Seattle city limits as a Potential Annexation Area.

15 D. Amend Future Land Use Map to designate one parcel in Southeast Seattle near the
16 proposed Henderson Street light rail station for multifamily use.

17 E. Amend goals and policies in the Land Use Element and possibly the Transportation
18 Element to facilitate development of the ferry terminal on Colman Dock, which could include
19 commercial development.

20 F. Amend goals and policies in the Land Use Element facilitate replacement of the
21 Alaskan Way Viaduct and the Seawall, and to reflect outcomes of the Waterfront Concept Plan.

1 G. Amend Future Land Use Map to redesignate Goodwill site on Dearborn Street in the
2 International District from “Industrial” to “Commercial Mixed Use.”

3 H. Amend the Future Land Use Map to redesignate two parcels south and west of the
4 Magnolia Bridge “Commercial Mixed Use” for one and “Open Space” for the other.

5 I. Exempt a portion of the Duwamish Manufacturing/Industrial Center bounded by
6 Colorado Avenue S., S. Walker Street, Occidental Avenue S., and S. Forest Street from size of
7 use limits on commercial space.
8

9 J. Amend the goals and policies of the Roosevelt Neighborhood Plan to reflect
10 community planning in response to new site of the proposed light rail station.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Section 3. The following proposals should not be considered further as Comprehensive
Plan amendments:

K. Revise single family goals and policies for rezoning SF9600 and SF7200 areas
outside of any urban center or urban village to enable greater variety of housing types and for
affordable single family housing.

Adopted by the City Council the ____ day of _____, 2006, and signed by me in
open session in authentication of its adoption this ____ day of _____, 2006.

President _____ of the City Council

THE MAYOR CONCURRING:

Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor

Filed by me this ____ day of _____, 2006.

City Clerk

(Seal)